_	· A	oproved M	Release	- 2 006/04/474 	⊹€IA-RDP ——	80B01 43	5R00130002	20016-2	
							2.6	ndum for F	Joldone
L							Memora USIB-SC		lordera
		i					4 March	1974	*,
									•
			SIGIN	T COI	MMITT	ΕE	•		
•			516111	of the	77.177.1		•		
		UNITE	D STAT	ES INTE	LLIGEN	CE BC	ARD		
		02122	⊅ ₃ ,						
	MEMORAN	DUM FO	R HOLDI	ERS OF U	SIB-SC-	4.2/65			
	Subject:		Propo of the	sed Revie SIGINT C	ew of Mis Committe	ssion ar	nd Functio	ns	
. %	Reference:	Stage and	USIB- (Mem	SC-4.2/6 orandum	5, for SIGIN	NT Com] 28 Febr mittee Pr	uary 1974 incipals)	•
	The (CIA Mem	aber of th	ie SIGINT	Commit	tee has	requeste	d that	
	the attache	d memor	andum f	rom Mess	ers Duck	ett and	Proctor b	e circula	tad
	to SIGINT	Committ	ee Princ	ipals for	informat	ion. T	his memo	randum is	s the
	initial prop	osal for	review	of the mis	sion and	function	ons of the	SIGINT	
• .	Committee	÷		· 				eference).	±
	•								
									2
					Executiv	e Secra	etary		
					12/1/2/2000		<i>)</i>		

Approved For Release 2006/01/17: CIA-RDP80B0 1495R004300020016=2

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

§X1 Approved F	-or Release 2006/01/17 : CIA-RDP80B01465R001300020016-2
	USIB-SC-4.2/65
	28 February 1974
	SIGINT COMMITTEE
	of the
UNIT	ED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD
A CTIA CO DA A DIDITA CEC	OR SIGINT COMMITTEE PRINCIPALS
MEMORANDOM FC	OR SIGINI COMMITTEE PRINCIPALS
The attached	memorandum from the Chairman on the subject
is circulated to SIC	HNT Committee Principals for information.
	25)
	/ Executive Secretary
	Executive Secretary
	Classified by: 25%
Attachment a/s	Exempt from general declassification schedule of E.O. 11652, exemption
3, 5	category 5B(2) & (3). Automatically
	declassified on: Impossible to determine.
5X1	
	TOP SECRET

Next 10 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/01/17 : CIA-RDP80B01435R001300020016-2

26 February 1974

Mr. Proctor NOTE TO:

Comments on General Morrison's Paper SUBJECT:

comments on the paper We have reviewed you and Carl sent to General Graham requesting a review of the mission and functions of the SIGINT Committee.

Some of his remarks are consistent with our views as they pertain to:

- the role of the Director, NSA, as the SIGINT program manager, his relationship to the DCI and the part a SIGINT community body can play (paragraph 4);
- the probably unworkable nature of stitching SIGINT Committee functions into the NIO or IC Staff arrangements (paragraph 5 and 6).

On the other hand, his comments in paragraph 8 miss the main point in your memorandum. The request for a review of the SIGINT Committee functions was based on a concern to upgrade the work on guidance and requirements, collection, evaluations and resource tradeoffs within each of the disciplines within the SIGINT field. He considers that these disciplines (e.g., COMINT, Telemetry, ELINT, etc.) are too interrelated to make useful divisions possible.

No one questions the extent of the intermingling of the actions of the SIGINT shown in his tabulation. However, the important problem is whether these topics that cut across the disciplines would be better served by improved efforts on each before the intermingling occurs.

25X1

As presently constituted, neither the SORS nor the SIGINT Committee can make these kinds of improved judgments without first effecting a dramatic upgrading of the calibre of work performed within each of the disciplines. Indirectly, ______cknowledges this in his last paragraph which proposes a SIGINT Application Subcommittee and a SIGINT Requirements and Evaluations Subcommittee.

In addition, he is silent on the concern expressed in your memorandum for work on resources and trade-offs within each discipline. We believe that unless these resource-related judgments are initiated within each discipline, subsequent USIB or DCI arrangements for resource judgments will be limited to reviewing the SIGINT program as presented by DIRNSA, the program manager.

We can only emphasize that the review requested should address what is needed to perform the specific functions in each discipline as noted in paragraph 4 of your memorandum:

- collection guidance;
- . intelligence value of collection operations;
- . options, mixes and trade-offs.

Finally, as requested in the same paragraph of your memorandum the review should describe the mechanism that would be needed to insure the close coordination of the work performed in the individual disciplines.

cc: Dr. Steininger

25X1

25X1

25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt